

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MATTHEW RAY KIDD,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

11-cv-14-wmc

SCOTT WALKER and
J.B. VAN HOLLEN,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Matthew Ray Kidd seeks leave to proceed in the above-captioned case without prepayment of fees and costs or providing security for such fees and costs, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The court is unable to conclude from the answers on plaintiff's affidavit whether he is indigent, but Kidd's complaint must be dismissed in any event, so there is no purpose in requiring him to submit a new affidavit of indigency.

In addressing any pro se litigant's complaint, the court must construe the complaint liberally. *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 521 (1972). The court will grant leave to proceed if there is an arguable basis for a claim in fact or law. *Nietzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). Unfortunately, it is impossible to make out from the complaint what claim Kidd might be trying to assert against the defendants. Indeed, Kidd's complaint is almost entirely unintelligible.

Giving Kidd the benefit of the doubt, he seems to seek discontinuance of alleged gamma ray broadcast transmissions from a "federal building." An excerpt from Kidd's cause of action states,

FCC broadcasting AC/DC current connected to GPX to federal building to a live microphone that can handle communications and it involves a gammon ray called analyazer, a term used in Dianetics, a book by L. Ron Hubbard. Modern science of mental health damage can be tested by Q-tip swab. Second special optical camera transmission can be recorded by Bluetooth.

Event taken at face value, this and Kidd's claims fail to state any arguable basis for a claim in fact or law. *See Lee v. Clinton*, 209 F.3d 1025 (7th Cir. 2000).

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Matthew Ray Kidd's request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this case is DENIED and this case is DISMISSED because it does not contain an arguable basis for a claim in fact or law. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment in favor of the defendants Scott Walker and J.B. Van Hollen and close this case.

Entered this 14th day of April, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY

District Judge