

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SYLVESTER JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

RANDALL R. HEPP and RICK RAEMISCH,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM

11-cv-136-slc

Plaintiff Sylvester Jackson is proceeding on a claim that defendants Randall Hepp and Rick Raemisch violated his right to free speech by prohibiting him from seeking legal assistance from prisoners who are not housed in his unit. We held a preliminary pretrial conference in this case on July 12, 2011. Now plaintiff has filed a letter stating that his legal loan for this case has been terminated and he cannot pursue this lawsuit until his legal loan is reinstated. Plaintiff asks for “instructions regarding [his] circumstances.”

Although plaintiff seems to be alleging that the state’s termination of the legal loan has eliminated his ability to prosecute this lawsuit, I do not interpret his letter as a motion for injunctive relief regarding access to the courts, or as a motion to stay the case pending reinstatement of the loan. If plaintiff does decide to file a motion for an injunction or for a stay, then he will have to explain in detail why his ability to pursue this case has been compromised, meaning he will have to explain what resources he has available to him in terms of both money and legal resources. At the beginning of this lawsuit plaintiff had nearly \$140. Seeing that plaintiff has continued to mail correspondence to this court, it appears that he has some resources available to him). He

will also have to explain what specific tasks he wishes to accomplish regarding this lawsuit are hindered by the lack of resources.

Entered this 19th day of September, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge