

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ARVIND M. PATEL,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
STATE, JOSIAH L. KEATS and
2ND AGENT,

Defendants.

AMENDED
SCHEDULING ORDER

11-cv-6-wmc

This court held a recorded telephonic conference in this case on August 30, 2012 to set the schedule for this case now that plaintiff has filed an amended complaint. Plaintiff is representing himself and appeared without an attorney. Defendants did not participate in the conference. Because we already had a preliminary pretrial conference on July 15, 2011, followed by a written order (dkt. 29), I will not include in this order the explanations and instructions that were part of that order, although all of those explanations and instructions still apply to the parties at this point in the lawsuit.

1. Briefing on Plaintiff's Motion for Relief (dkt. 40): Response: September 21, 2012

Reply: October 1, 2012

Plaintiff explained to the court during the telephonic conference that he thinks he is entitled to relief on his amended complaint (dkt. 39) as a matter of law, and he has filed this motion to request the equivalent of judgment in his favor on the pleadings.

2. **Deadline for Filing Any Other Dispositive Motions: December 10, 2012**

3. **Disclosure of Expert Witnesses: Plaintiff: March 11, 2013**
Defendants: April 12, 2013

4. **Discovery Cutoff: May 10, 2013**

5. **Final Pretrial Submissions and Disclosures: May 10, 2013**
Responses: May 24, 2013

6. **Final Pretrial Conference: June 10, 2013 at 8:30 a.m.**

7. **Jury Selection and Trial: June 10, 2013 at 9:00 a.m.**

The court set this as a jury trial because plaintiff has included a claim for money damages in his amended complaint. In the event this case goes to trial on some issue that is not triable to a jury, the court will convert it to a bench trial. The predicted trial length is one day.

Entered this 30th day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge