

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

-----  
CHILDERIC MAXY,

Plaintiff,

v.

SCOTT L. HORNE,  
MICHAEL J. MULROY,  
ELLIOTT M. LEVINE and  
LA CROSSE DISTRICT ATTORNEY,

Defendants.  
-----

ORDER

10-cv-29-bbc

Plaintiff Childeric Maxy, a prisoner at Waupun Correctional Institution in Waupun, Wisconsin, has submitted a proposed civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He asks for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and has supported his request with a copy of his six-month trust fund account statement. I have examined a copy of this statement and reviewed this court's own financial records. From these documents, I conclude that plaintiff has not been paying the debts he incurred under the 1996 Prison Litigation Reform Act in connection with two other lawsuits he filed previously in this district, Maxy v. Larson, 03-C-623-C and Maxy v. Weissenberger, 03-C-624-C. Therefore, plaintiff is not entitled to

proceed in forma pauperis in this action at this time.

On December 4, 2003, plaintiff made initial partial payments of \$15.56 toward the fees for filing his complaints in case nos. 03-C-623-C and 03-C-624-C. In orders entered in these cases on February 5 and February 9, 2004, the court acknowledged receipt of the initial partial payments and directed that the remainder of the \$150 filing fees be deducted from plaintiff's account according to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). On March 22, 2004, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal in case no. 03-C-623-C, for which he owes a \$255 filing fee. In addition to the \$150 fees for filing his complaints, the \$255 appeal fee is to be paid in monthly installments pursuant to § 1915(b)(2).

Plaintiff continued to make regular payments in his cases for approximately three years. However, those payments ceased in 2006, with receipt of his last payment on September 8, 2006. Presently, plaintiff has outstanding balances in case nos. 03-C-623-C and 03-C-624-C of \$383.97 and \$7.72, respectively. Until he is current with his payments, he will not be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis in this case or in any new case that he may file.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2) provides that "after payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner shall be required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account . . . ." If the prisoner then files additional complaints or appeals, the amount owed increases as well. For example, when plaintiff filed

his complaints in case no. 03-C-623-C and 03-C-624-C and made the initial partial payments, he became obligated to pay 40 percent of his income to reduce the balances due on the \$150 filing fees. When plaintiff filed his appeal in case no. 03-C-623-C, he became obligated to pay 60 percent of his monthly income for both the \$150 filing fees and the \$255 appeal filing fee. Newlin v. Helman, 123 F.3d 429, 436 (7th Cir. 1997), rev'd on other grounds by Lee v. Clinton, 209 F.3d 1025 (7th Cir. 2000) and Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F.3d 626 (7th Cir. 2000) (fees for filing more than one lawsuit or appeal accumulate). The trust fund account statement plaintiff submitted in this shows that he has not been paying as required.

In Lucien v. DeTella, 141 F.3d 773 (7th Cir. 1998), the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit cautioned prisoner litigants to keep a watchful eye on their accounts and insure that amounts owed under the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act are withdrawn on a monthly basis. “If in a given month the prison fails to make the required distribution from the trust account, the prisoner should notice this and refrain from spending funds on personal items until they can be applied properly.” Id. at 776. Nonpayment of obligations a prisoner incurs under the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act for any reason other than destitution is to be understood as a voluntary relinquishment of the prisoner's right to file future suits in forma pauperis, just as if the prisoner had a history of frivolous litigation. Thurman v. Gramley, 97 F.3d 185, 188 (7th Cir. 1996).

It appears from the trust fund account statement that plaintiff filed in this case that he is in arrears for at least \$299.97 and likely much more than that. The chart below illustrates the calculation performed in reviewing plaintiff's financial statement. The first column shows the month in which plaintiff received income; the second column shows the amount of his monthly income; the third column shows the amount plaintiff owed, which is 60% of the previous month's income; the fourth column shows the amount of plaintiff's monthly payments; and the last column shows the minimum amount I am able to determine that plaintiff owes.

Month	Income	Amount Owed	Amount Paid	Amount Still Due
July 2009	\$21.44		\$0	
August 2009	\$242.88	\$12.86	\$0	\$12.86
September 2009	\$36.80	\$145.73	\$0	\$145.73
October 2009	\$42.88	\$22.08	\$0	\$22.08
November 2009	\$42.88	\$25.73	\$0	\$25.73
December 2009	\$94.83	\$25.73	\$0	\$25.73
January 2010	\$18.24	\$56.90	\$0	\$56.90
February 2010		\$10.94	\$0	\$10.94
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$499.95</b>	<b>\$299.97</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$299.97</b>

In sum, it is not possible to determine the exact amount plaintiff should have paid toward his debts because the court does not have the relevant trust fund account statements from plaintiff. Regardless, that job belongs to plaintiff and prison officials at the institutions in which he was confined. Hall v. Stone, 170 F.3d 706 (7th Cir. 1999) (order under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b) directs warden as trustee of account to disburse amounts owed in accordance with statutory directive).

As soon as this court is notified that plaintiff is no longer in arrears for the filing fees

in case nos. 03-C-623-C and 03-C-624-C, he may renew his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this case. However, he should take note that he will have to support his request for leave to proceed in this case with a certified trust fund account statement for the full six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his renewed request.

#### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that until plaintiff has paid the amounts he is in arrears under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2) in Maxy v. Larson, 03-C-623-C and Maxy v. Weissenberger, 03-C-624-C, he may not apply for leave to proceed in forma pauperis in any future action in this court except under the circumstances permitted under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). As soon as this court is notified that plaintiff's payments for the fees in his earlier cases are up to date, plaintiff may renew his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this case.

Finally, IT IS ORDERED that the clerk is directed to close the file.

Entered this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

---

BARBARA B. CRABB  
District Judge