

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL D. BALL,

Defendant.

ORDER

09-cr-59-bbc-01
10-cv-613-bbc

Defendant Michael D. Ball has moved for post conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, contending that his court-appointed counsel was constitutionally ineffective in 13 different respects. In an order entered on November 24, 2010, I dismissed two of the grounds for relief as frivolous and gave defendant an opportunity to add specific allegations to support his other claims.

Defendant submitted a response to the November 24 order, but it contains no additional facts to support the claims he raised in his original motion. For the most part, he simply repeats the claims he made before. As I explained to him in the November 24 order, these claims cannot go forward without specific allegations to support them.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Michael Ball's motion for post conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is DENIED for his failure to allege any specific facts in support of his claims of ineffectiveness of counsel.

Entered this 14th day of January, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge