

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LEIGHTON DWIGHT LINDSEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAPTAIN SALTZER, RYAN ARMSON
and JOSEPH CHICANOWICZ,

Defendants.

ORDER

10-cv-385-bbc

On June 16, 2011, I denied plaintiff Leighton Lindsey's motion for use of the law library. Now, less than two weeks after receiving the court's order, plaintiff has filed a renewed motion asking this court to order staff at the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility to allow plaintiff weekly access to the law library, *dk. 58*. Plaintiff's motion will be denied.

I remind plaintiff that he is not expected to provide the court with extensive legal research. Instead, his role is to present the facts about which he has personal knowledge, that is, what he saw, felt and heard on January 28, 2010, when the defendants allegedly used excessive force against him. Plaintiff can do this without researching the law or looking for additional legal precedent. It is the *court's* job to determine the relevant law and apply it to the facts. Plaintiff's ability to succeed on his claim will rest entirely on the facts presented at trial. Plaintiff should use the preliminary pretrial conference order as his roadmap in preparing his case and focus his efforts on gathering the discovery he needs to present his case at trial. Therefore, for the reasons expressed in the June 16 order, plaintiff's renewed motion for law library time is denied.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Leighton Lindsey's renewed motion for additional law library time, dkt. #58, is DENIED.

Entered this 28th day of June, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge