

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

HAKIM NASEER,

Plaintiff,

v.

M. McCULLICK and J.D. FISHER,

Defendants.

ORDER

10-cv-139-bbc

---

Plaintiff Hakim Naseer is proceeding on claims that defendant M. McCullick used excessive force against him and that defendant J.D. Fisher failed to intervene. Now before the court are plaintiff's motions to extend discovery proceedings, dkt 87, to compel discovery and for sanctions, dkt. 88, and to postpone dispositive motion deadline, dkt. 91.

Naseer moves for an order compelling the defendant to produce a second conduct report issued on June 9, 2010. Defendants previously had disclosed conduct report No. 2155357. They subsequently found a second conduct report, No. 2155311, which they now have provided to plaintiff. These conduct reports were issued eight months after the incident in question. Because the report has been provided to plaintiff, his motion to compel will be denied as moot and his motion for sanctions will be denied.

As defendants point out, plaintiff's motion for an extension of the discovery deadline for two months is unnecessary. The discovery deadline in this case is June 17, 2011, more than five months from now. Plaintiff's motion will be denied.

Finally plaintiff asks for an extension of the February 18, 2011 deadline for filing dispositive motions because there are unresolved discovery issues. Because all the discovery issues in this case have been resolved and the motion deadline is over six weeks from now, there is no need for an extension.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff Hakim Naseer's motion to compel to compel discovery and for sanctions, dkt. 88, is DENIED.

2. Plaintiff's motions to postpone dispositive motion deadline, dkt. 91 and to extend discovery proceedings, dkt 87, are DENIED.

Entered this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER  
Magistrate Judge