

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

MARKUS GRANSBERRY,

Plaintiff,

v.

DR. SULIENE, L. ALSUM and SGT. FRIEND,

Defendants.

---

ORDER

09-cv-730-bbc

Plaintiff Markus Gransberry is proceeding on his claim that defendants Dr. Suliene, L. Alsum and Sgt. Friend violated his rights under the Eighth Amendment by acting deliberately indifferent to plaintiff's serious medical needs. Now plaintiff has filed a third motion for appointment of counsel.

In plaintiff's motion, he states that he does not have the ability to litigate this case because he suffers from stress and depression. Although plaintiff feels stressed and depressed, I cannot conclude that he is incapable of representing himself. As I explained in the December 29, 2009 order, the case is not unusually complex.. Rather, the case is a straightforward Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claim. I will remind plaintiff to reread the February 16, 2010 pretrial conference order carefully and do the best he can to follow the procedures described. The order tells plaintiff how to conduct discovery, file or oppose dispositive motions and call witnesses.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel, dkt. #69, is DENIED.

Entered this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER  
Magistrate Judge