

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

STI HOLDINGS, INC., f/k/a
STOUGHTON TRAILERS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

SPECIAL VERDICT: VALIDITY

09-cv-570-slc

v.

GREAT DANE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

Defendant.

We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as follows:

Question No. 1: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 2 of the '564 patent is anticipated?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 2: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 16 of the '564 patent is anticipated?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 3: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 2 of the '902 patent is anticipated?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 4: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 17 of the '902 patent is anticipated?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 5: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 2 of the '564 patent was obvious?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 6: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 16 of the '564 patent was obvious?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 7: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 2 of the '902 patent was obvious?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Question No. 8: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 17 of the '902 patent was obvious?

Answer: _____

("Yes" or "No")

Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Date: _____