

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

- - - - -

DARYL STRENKE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT ALAN GLICKMAN,

Defendant.

- - - - -

ORDER

09-cv-473-bbc

Plaintiff Daryl Strenke is proceeding in this case on his claim that attorney Robert Glickman, who represented plaintiff in a State of Wisconsin criminal proceeding, breached his contractual duties to plaintiff when he failed to pursue an order from the trial court that would have allowed plaintiff to appeal his case to the Wisconsin Court of Appeals. On May 9, 2011, defendant filed a document titled “Motion for Summary Judgment.” After being contacted by the clerk’s office, defendant re-filed the summary judgment motion on May 10, 2011, uploading the exhibits as separately numbered attachments to the motion in order to conform with this court’s administrative filing procedures. However, even with this correction, defendant’s motion fails to comply with this court’s summary judgment procedures, a copy of which was provided to the parties with the preliminary pretrial conference order in this case dated November 8, 2010. Accordingly, defendant’s motion will be denied at this time. Bordelon v. Chicago School Reform Board of Trustees, 233 F.3d 524,

527 (7th Cir. 2000) (district court can require “strict compliance” with local rules governing summary judgment).

If defendant wishes, he may redraft his motion, along with a brief in support and proposed findings of fact in a manner consistent with the court’s procedures. In addition, to be admissible as evidence, any exhibits he submits must be authenticated. This means they must be attached to an affidavit in which a person who has personal knowledge of the exhibits declares under penalty of perjury or swears under oath that the exhibits are true and correct copies of the documents they appear to be. Because the court’s calendar permits a short extension I will give the parties two extra weeks, to May 23, 2011, to file their dispositive motions. An extra copy of the court’s summary judgment procedures will be enclosed with this order.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Robert Glickman’s motion for summary judgment is DENIED without prejudice to his refiling his motion in accordance with the procedures that are outlined in the pretrial conference order.

Further, IT IS ORDERED that the parties may have until May 23, 2011 in which to

file their dispositive motions.

Entered this 11th day of May, 2011.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge