

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JACOB STADFELD,

Defendant.

ORDER

08-cr-138-bbc

Jacob Stadfeld has filed a “motion of objection” to the order entered on February 13, 2015, dkt. #314, reducing his sentence from 168 months to 135 months. He complains that he tried to inform the federal defender that he did not want the office to represent him on a motion for sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) but was unsuccessful.

Defendant’s motion is untimely; an order reducing his guidelines range by two levels was entered in February 2015. Even if it were timely, it would be denied. Defendant received the lowest reduction available to him under the new amendments to the sentencing guidelines but he seems to think he is entitled to an even greater reduction because he was sentenced originally to a below-guidelines sentence. In this, he is misguided. The law is quite clear: a two-level reduction from the original guidelines is the maximum provided. Defendant’s original offense level was 34 (base offense level of 32 for at least 1000 kilograms of marijuana, plus two points for obstruction of justice); with the new reduction, his total

offense level is 32. The revision of his sentence will remain in effect, as recorded in dkt. #314.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Jacob Stadfeld's motion of objection, dkt. #315, is DENIED.

Entered this 20th day of August, 2015.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge