

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ALFRED RONNIE HARRELL,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

08-cv-609-bbc

DR. DALIA SULIENE and
LORI ALSUM,

Defendants.

In an order entered in this case on November 3, 2008, this court denied plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel because he had failed to submit proof that he had made reasonable efforts to locate a lawyer on his own and because I could not conclude that this case is too difficult for plaintiff to litigate on his own. On December 8, 2008, I denied plaintiff's second motion for appointment of counsel. Although plaintiff had met the preliminary requirement of making reasonable efforts to locate a lawyer on his own, I was not convinced that he required the assistance of counsel. Now plaintiff has filed a third motion for appointment of counsel.

In his motion, plaintiff continues to argue that he needs counsel appointed for him because he is in prison and has limited knowledge of the law. He also says that counsel would be better able to present evidence and cross examine witnesses at trial. I have addressed these arguments in my orders denying his previous motions for appointment of counsel and found that plaintiff is capable of representing himself in this action. Therefore, plaintiff's third motion for

appointment of counsel will be denied for the reasons expressed in the November 3 and December 8 orders.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's third motion for appointment of counsel, dkt. #19, is DENIED.

Entered this 15th day of January, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge