

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,
GE MEDICAL SYSTEMS (NORWAY) AS,
GE YOKOGAWA MEDICAL SYSTEMS,
LTD., GE MEDICAL SYSTEMS GLOBAL
TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, LLC, GE
MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ULTRASOUND &
PRIMARY CARE DIAGNOSTICS LLC and
GE MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiffs-Counter-Defendants,

v.

SONOSITE, INC.,

Defendant-Counter-Plaintiff.

ORDER

07-cv-00273-bbc

On January 8, 2008, I issued a lengthy opinion in which I construed numerous claim terms included in plaintiffs' and defendant's patents. Dkt. #138. Now, plaintiffs have moved for clarification of the term "dividing said first image frame of pixel intensity data into a regular grid of kernels forming a plurality of rows"; they indicate that defendant does not oppose this motion. Plaintiffs assert that, consistent with the discussion at the claim construction hearing on October 18, 2007, the term should be construed to mean "dividing

the frame of image data acquired during the first scan of the subject into an ordered grid of curved or rectilinear zones arranged in multiple rows and columns, each zone containing one or more pixels of data of potentially varying intensity.”

Therefore, because the parties now agree on a construction, plaintiffs’ motion for clarification is GRANTED. The January 8, 2008 order is AMENDED to construe the phrase: “dividing said first image frame of pixel intensity data into a regular grid of kernels forming a plurality of rows” to mean “dividing the frame of image data acquired during the first scan of the subject into an ordered grid of curved or rectilinear zones arranged in multiple rows and columns, each zone containing one or more pixels of data of potentially varying intensity.”

Entered this 24th day of January, 2008.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge