

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LUIS VASQUEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

WAYNE BAUER, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

06-cv-743-bbc

On April 2, 2008, plaintiff filed a “motion for an order excluding disclosure of irrelevant evidentiary materials and prohibiting defendants from conducting improper, offensive and unauthorized discovery.” *See* Dkt. 40. In the motion itself, plaintiff contends that the defendants have a “long history” of disclosing inmates’ confidential information during the course of lawsuits for the purpose of harming and harassing inmates. Plaintiff contends that defendants need not disclose his entire medical file and clinical record which both contain information that is not relevant or material to the issues in the instant lawsuit, and that a medical record authorization form does not give defendants the right to expose or reveal facts not relevant to the lawsuit.

This is all true and defendants hardly could be heard to argue otherwise. But plaintiff has not tied these propositions to any particular act by defendants in the instant lawsuit. Absent specific claims of discovery misconduct, there is no point in the court simply ordering the parties to obey the rules. The court *expects* the parties to obey the rules. Absent something more specific from plaintiff, there is no relief this court can offer or provide.

Accordingly, plaintiff's motion is denied.

Entered this 10th day of April, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge