IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

STEPHEN JAY LARSON.

Petitioner,

v. ORDER

HELEN F. FAHEY and GENE M. JOHNSON,

06-C-392-S

Respondents.

Petitioner has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus

under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He paid the filing fee.

Petitioner was convicted in the State of Virginia and is incarcerated in Wisconsin. He contends he has been denied a parole hearing. He does not allege that he has exhausted his state court remedies.

Federal district courts are required by statute, for reasons of comity, to defer to state courts in proceedings for writs of habeas corpus. Accordingly, petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus will be dismissed without prejudice to petitioner's refiling his petition after he has exhausted his state court remedies within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §2254.

Petitioner is advised that in any future proceedings in this matter he must offer argument not cumulative of that already provided to undermine this Court's conclusion that his claim must

be dismissed without prejudice for his failure to exhaust his state remedies. <u>See Newlin v. Helman</u>, 123 F.3d 429, 433 (7th Cir. 1997).

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment be entered DISMISSING petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus without prejudice.

Entered this 24^{th} day of July, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/

JOHN C. SHABAZ

District Judge