IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

DUJUAN M. WALKER,

Plaintiff,

06-C-386-S

v. ORDER

TRAVIS BITTELMAN, CAPTAIN ASHWORTH,
LIEUTENANT DURDIN, SERGEANT HART,
NANCY HAHNISH, STEVE HELGERSOBN,
PAUL PERSSON, KIM CAMPBELL, MICHAEL
W. VANDENBOOK, KURT SCHWEBKE, SANDRA
SIZMAN, DR. SULIENE and DANA DIEDRICH,

Defendants.

Plaintiff moves for appointment of counsel. In <u>Farmer v.</u>

<u>Haas</u>, 990 F.2d 319, 322 (7th Cir. 1993), the Court provided the following standard for appointment of counsel, "given the difficulty of the case, did the plaintiff appear to be competent to try it himself and, if not, would the presence of counsel have made a difference in the outcome."

Although plaintiff alleges that the defendants violated his Eighth Amendment rights, it appears at this stage that plaintiff is competent to try the case himself. The Court will deny plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel.

ORDER

IT IS ORDER that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED.

Entered this 6th day of September, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

____S/__ JOHN C. SHABAZ District Judge