

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ORLANDO LARRY,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOANNE ANDERSON,

Defendant.

ORDER

06-C-223-C

Plaintiff has filed a motion “to amend,” which I construe as a motion for reconsideration of the court’s order of October 13, 2006, denying plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment and supporting documents as duplicating the parties’ submissions in connection with defendant’s motion for summary judgment. Nothing in plaintiff’s motion convinces me that I erred in denying his motion for summary judgment. As I noted in the October 13, plaintiff availed himself fully of the opportunity to respond to defendant’s proposed findings of fact. A review of his response and the proposed findings of fact he submitted in support of his own motion reveals no significant difference in his version of the material facts. No purpose would be served by requiring defendant to respond to plaintiff’s motion.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion "to amend" (Dkt. #34), construed as a motion for reconsideration of the court's order of October 13, 2006, is DENIED.

Entered this 25th day of October, 2006.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge