

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

DOROTHY H. NICKEL,

Plaintiff,

ORDER
06-C-024-S

v.

NEVIN J. GILLETTE and
DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL OF ILLINOIS, INC.,

Defendants.

Motions to compel came on to be heard before the Court in the above entitled matter on July 26, 2006, the plaintiff having appeared by Consigny, Andrews, Hemming & Grant by Richard R. Grant and Michelle Zickert; defendants by Foley & Lardner by Michael B. Van Sicklen. Honorable John C. Shabaz, District Judge, presided.

The Court is of the opinion that defendants have provided all discovery to plaintiff which is reasonably available to them.

Accordingly,

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for Rule 37 discovery order and sanctions is DENIED at this time subject to a reopening in the event further discovery may be located pending trial.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's request to obtain expert analysis and report based on the documentation previously provided is GRANTED for the purpose of determining that additional discovery which may exist.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' Rule 37 motion to compel discovery is GRANTED as said motion relates to interrogatory nos. 7 and 8; said discovery to be provided not later than August 9, 2006 or in the alternative prior to the deposition of plaintiff.

Entered this 26th day of July, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/

JOHN C. SHABAZ
District Judge