IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

EDUARDO M. PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

BHS-DOC R/N SHARON ZUNKER,

05-C-711-C

Defendant.

On April 18, 2007, defendant filed a motion asking the court to order plaintiff's pro bono attorneys to return all discovery documents in the event the court grants counsel's motion to withdraw. See dkts. 40-41. Plaintiff's attorneys respond by opining that the parties can resolve this matter with minimal court input and suggest a timeline for the parties to exchange information. See dkt. 43. A connected thread is the timing and content of a stipulated protective order in the event the court does not release plaintiff's attorneys.

It appears that counsel for both sides generally agree about what needs to happen, they just need to hammer out the details. Accordingly, I am denying defendant's motion without prejudice. Obviously, the parties cannot do much until after the court rules on the motion to withdraw, but once this happens, the court expects the parties quickly to act on this issue and get it off of their "to do" lists. To accomplish this, I will adopt the dates suggested by plaintiff's attorneys in their response: defendant must present her list to opposing counsel by May 11, 2007, with a response due by May 18, 2007; either side may file a follow-up motion not later than May 25, 2007, in which the parties may incorporate by reference their earlier filings on this topic.

Entered this 2nd day of May, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge