

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LINDA J. BOYEA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAROC, INC.,
d/b/a FANTASTIC SAMS,

Defendant.

ORDER

04-C-403-C

On November 15, 2004 this court received a document from plaintiff entitled “Plaintiff’s Federal (Rule 26 & 34) -Request for Production of Documentation.” (Dkt. 21). On November 26, 2004 this court received a document from plaintiff entitled “November 23, 2004–Plaintiff’s Brief regarding Defendant’s 11/16/2004–Failure To Provide Documentation” (undocketed). The subject matter of both is plaintiff’s dissatisfaction with defendants’ responses to some of her discovery requests. Plaintiff, however, has not asked this court to do anything; therefore, this court will take no action on either submission.

If a party in a civil case wants the court to do something about a discovery problem, then that party must file and serve a “motion to compel discovery” or a “motion to protect from discovery,” *clearly labeled as such*. Any such motion must clearly ask for specific relief so that the court understands what the moving party wants, and then must provide all evidence and argument demonstrating why the court should grant the motion. The responding party then has five calendar days to file and serve a complete written response. This information is set out at

pages 10-11 August 18, 2004 preliminary pretrial conference order. Plaintiff should review the pretrial conference order to make sure she understands this court's procedures.

Entered this 30th day of November, 2004.

BY THE COURT:

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge