IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

GARRY ALLEN BORZYCH,

ORDER

Petitioner,

03-C-575-C

v.

MATTHEW J. FRANK, Secretary of Wisconsin Department of Corrections (DOC); STEVE CASPERSON, Administrator of Wisconsin's Department of Adult Institutions (DAI); CINDY O'DONNELL, Office of the Secretary (OOS); SANDRA HAUTAMAKI, Corrections Complaint Examiner; DANIEL BERTRAND, Warden of Green Bay Corr. Inst.; PETE ERICKSON, Security Director of G.B.C.I.; LT. WAYNE NATZKE, Lieutenant at G.B.C.I.; GLEN RIPLEY, Inmate Complaint Examiner (ICE); and KATHLEEN BIERKE, Reviewer of Rejected Complaints,

Respondents.

Petitioner Garry A. Borzych, a prisoner at the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility in Boscobel, Wisconsin, has filed a proposed complaint and a request for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>. The request will be denied, because petitioner does not qualify for <u>in forma pauperis</u> status under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Section 1915(g) reads as follows:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

On at least three prior occasions, petitioner has been denied leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> in this district and in the Eastern District of Wisconsin in lawsuits deemed to be legally frivolous. <u>See Borzych v. Litscher</u>, 02-C-128-C, decided March 15, 2002 (W.D. Wis.); <u>Elkins v. Bertrand</u>, 02-CV-232-CNC, decided April 25, 2002 (E.D. Wis.); and <u>Borzych v. Litscher</u>, 01-CV-723-LA, decided November 6, 2002 (E.D. Wis.)

Moreover, petitioner's complaint does not allege facts from which an inference may be drawn that he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. Petitioner describes his complaint as an action alleging violations of his constitutional rights "to free speech, free exercise of religion, freedom from religious establishment, right to complain about prison conditions to prison officials, right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure, and equal protection - due process rights, as well as the right to not be substantially burdened in his religious exercise by those receiving federal funds. . . ."

Because petitioner is disqualified from proceeding \underline{in} forma pauperis under § 1915(g), he may choose one of three courses of action to follow.

First, he may decide that he wishes to pursue this case as a paying litigant. If so, he

must submit a check or money order made payable to the clerk of court in the amount of \$150 and advise the court that he is proceeding as a paying litigant. If he does this, however, petitioner should be aware that the court then will be required to screen his complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, and dismiss his case if the complaint is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.

Second, petitioner may decide he does not wish to pursue this matter further. If this is the case, then no further review will be given petitioner's complaint. However, petitioner will still owe the \$150 filing fee, and he must pay it immediately. Newlin v. Helman, 123 F.3d 429, 436-437 (7th Cir. 1997).

Third, petitioner may decide to take an appeal from this order barring him from proceeding in forma pauperis because of his three-strike status. If, within 30 days of the date of this order, petitioner were to file a notice of appeal, I would be required to allow him to take the appeal without prepaying the \$105 filing fee, Newlin at 436. However, petitioner is cautioned that if he takes an appeal and loses, he will then owe two fees, one for filing the complaint and another for filing the appeal, and both fees will have to be paid in full immediately or an order under Support Systems International, Inc. v. Mack, 45 F.3d 185 (7th Cir. 1995) may be issued and remain in force until the fees are paid.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is

DENIED because petitioner is not eligible for in forma pauperis status under 28 U.S.C. §

1915(g).

Petitioner has thirty (30) days from the date of this order in which to:

1) pay the \$150 fee and request that the court process the complaint;

2) pay the \$150 fee and advise the court that he does not intend to pursue the

lawsuit; or

3) file a notice of appeal.

If, within thirty (30) days of the date of this order, petitioner does none of these

things, then an order under **Support Systems International**, Inc. v. Mack may be entered.

Entered this 20th day of October, 2003.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge

4