

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

TONIE CURTIS COTTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

PHIL KINGSTON (Warden);
TIMOTHY DOUMA (Security Director); and
PAT SIEDSCHLAG (Health Services Supervisor),

Defendants.

ORDER

03-C-468-C

Plaintiff has filed letters postmarked August 2 and August 12, 2004, in which he notes that on July 2, 2004, he filed a motion for summary judgment together with supporting documents, but that defendants have not yet responded to the motion. Plaintiff expresses concern that perhaps the motion was not received by the court.

The court's records reflect that on July 6, 2004, the court docketed plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, supporting brief and proposed findings of fact and that defendants have not responded to the motion. Unfortunately, this may be because there is no record of the clerk of court having sent the parties a separate letter establishing a schedule for briefing the motion, and the magistrate judge's December 30, 2003 preliminary pretrial

conference order does not specifically address scheduling deadlines in situations in which a *plaintiff* moves for summary judgment. To rectify this situation, I have established below a schedule for briefing plaintiff's motion.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendants may have until September 8, 2004, in which to oppose plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff may have until September 17, 2004, in which to serve and file a reply.

Entered this 18th day of August, 2004.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge