

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JAMES J. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

GARY R. McCAUGHTRY, SGT. McCARTHY,
JAMES MUENCHOW, RENEE RONZANI,
SANDY HAUTAMAKI, JOHN RAY,
CYNTHIA L. O'DONNELL and JAMYI WITCH,

Defendants.

ORDER

03-C-27-C

On more than one occasion, plaintiff has objected to this court's orders of March 27, 2003, April 24, 2003, May 9, 2003, May 19, 2003, and May 22, 2003, each of which included a ruling adverse to plaintiff. On June 4, 2003, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal from these orders. In an order dated June 9, 2003, I construed plaintiff's notice as including a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. I denied the motion, in part because plaintiff is attempting to appeal orders that are not appealable, and in part because I certified that plaintiff's appeal was not taken in good faith. Plaintiff is presently seeking in the court of appeals a second opinion on the correctness of this court's June 9 order. Now

plaintiff has filed a letter postmarked September 3, 2003 and dated August 1, 2003, in which he points out alleged errors and omissions in the March 27 and April 24 decisions. He asks that the court “review these issues and correct any errors or problems.” I construe plaintiff’s letter as a successive motion for reconsideration of the March 27 and April 24 orders.

The time is long past for revisiting rulings made in this case months ago. In any event, plaintiff is presently attempting to challenge those rulings in the court of appeals. I do not intend to give the matters already decided additional attention unless the court of appeals directs me to do so.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff’s successive motion for reconsideration of the March 27 and April 24, 2003 rulings in this case is DENIED.

Entered this 23rd day of September, 2003.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge