## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

94-CR-0056-C

v.

CHARLES E. TAYLOR,

Defendant.

Defendant Charles E. Taylor has moved the court to modify the requirement that he pay \$3,498.00 imposed on him for the cost of his court-appointed counsel. Defendant argues that his release from prison is imminent and he is trying to save funds so as to be able to get on his feet as quickly as possible upon release and that having to contribute half of his pay for the last year of his imprisonment to his court-imposed obligation will make it difficult for him to save money.

Although I can sympathize with defendant's situation and particularly with the fact that he was unaware of his obligation until just recently, it is the Bureau of Prisons' obligation and responsibility to determine how they administer the financial responsibility plan.

1

The obligation to pay for court-appointed counsel was imposed by the court as part of defendant's sentence. How that obligation is fulfilled while defendant is in prison is a matter within the discretion of the Bureau of Prisons.

## ORDER

Defendant's motion to modify payment schedule is DENIED.

Entered this 29th day of September, 2005.

BY THE COURT: /s/ BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge